







EU Georgia Intellectual Property Project

IP ENFORCEMENT FORUM Misleading use of a mark

Cinzia Negro, member of EUIPO Boards of Appeal 16 June 2021

EU Georgia project, funded by the European Union and implemented by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)





MISLEADING USE OF A TRADE MARK

- Georgia / EU : comparing trade mark laws
- EU case studies:
 - LA IRLANDESA
 - JAPAN WHITE
 - DANISH BY DANISH CROWN



Georgia / EU : comparing the TM laws

Georgia	EU
Article 27(2)(c) - Grounds for <i>cancellation</i> (<i>ex nunc</i>)	Article 58(1)(c) - Grounds for <i>revocation (ex nunc)</i>
if the use of the trademark by the trademark holder or with his/her consent is misleading consumers as to the kind, feature, quality, value, geographical origin or other characteristics of the goods.	if, in consequence of the use made of the trade mark by the proprietor of the trade mark or with his consent in respect of the goods or services for which it is registered, the trade mark is liable to mislead the public, particularly as to the nature, quality or geographical origin of those goods or services.



Georgia / EU : comparing the TM laws

Georgia	EU
Article 4(1)(h) – Absolute Grounds for refusal	Article 7(1)(g) - Absolute Grounds for refusal
sign which is likely to deceive the public as to	signs which are of such a nature as to deceive
the feature, quality, geographical origin or	the public, for instance as to the nature, quality
other characteristics of the goods	or geographical origin of the goods or service
Relevant ground for <i>annulment</i> (<i>ex tunc</i>):	Relevant ground for <i>invalidity</i> (<i>ex tunc</i>):
Article 28(1)(a)	Article 59(1)(a)



02/03/2020, R 1499/2016-G, LA IRLANDESA 1943 (fig.) – Invalidity, Grand Board of Appeal



Class 29 Meat, milk and milk products, etc. The relevant consumers are likely to believe that goods covered by it come from **Ireland**.

The **evidence** demonstrates that the goods traded by the TM holder were coming from or typically produced in other countries.

Indicia of the misleading nature of the mark is provided by **decisions** issued by Spanish authorities and the EUIPO.

 \rightarrow DECEPTIVE



20/03/2018, R 694/2017-1, JAPAN WHITE – AG examination, First Board of Appeal

JAPAN WHITE

The relevant consumers are likely to perceive the sign as indicating that the goods are of a white colour and originating from Japan

 \rightarrow DECEPTIVE for 'brown rice'

Class 30 Rice, **brown rice**, cereal preparations etc.



23/07/2019, R 911/2016-1, DANISH BY DANISH CROWN (fig.) – AG examination, First Board of Appeal



At least a significant part of the relevant consumers are likely to perceive the sign as giving a firm impression of authorisation, official approval, or quality control of the Danish government or monarchy

Classes 29, 30, 43 foodstuff, catering and restaurant services

 \rightarrow DECEPTIVE









EU Georgia project, funded by the European Union and implemented by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

www.eugipp.eu